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#### Abstract

Phenylenediammonium dibromide (PDADBr) salts have been prepared and characterized by X-ray crystallography. The thermal decomposition of PDADBr has been studied by thermogravimetry (TG) and differential thermal analysis (DTA). Kinetic parameters have been evaluated using model fitting and isoconversional methods. The thermolytic pathways have also been suggested which involve proton transfer as a primary step to regenerate parent amine and HBr . Interaction between amine and HBr at higher temperature yields gaseous products.
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## Introduction

The proton transfer mechanism has been postulated to play an important role in the thermal decomposition of almost all the ammonium salts. It is also known that sublimation/ vaporization is involved during the decomposition of ammonium halides [1]. Erdey and co-authors [2-4] have

[^0]investigated the thermal decomposition of various ammonium salts and results have been explained based on acid base theory. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ showed phase change at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and marked decomposition was observed above $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Singh et al. reported a lot of work on the thermolysis of various ring substituted anilinium sulfates, nitrates, perchlorates, chlorides, bromides [5-10], dinitrates [11], diperchlorates [12], and dichlorides [13]. Proton transfer was suggested as a primary step in the thermal decomposition of these salts. These studies are very significant since alkyl and arylammonium halides are generally used as phase transfer catalyst and corrosion inhibitors [14]. Although several monobromides of ring substituted arylamines are known [6], studies on dibromide salts are not yet available in the literature. As a part of our ongoing research programs, the preparation, characterization, and thermolysis of phenylenediammonium dibromide ( PDADBr ) salts have been reported in this article.

## Experimental

## Materials

Following AR grade, commercially available chemicals were used as-received phenylene-1,2-diamine (s.d. fine); phenylene-1,4-diamine (s.d. fine); $70 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ (E-Merck), silica gel TLC grade (Qualigens), iodine, and silver nitrate.

Preparation of the PDADBr salts
PDADBr salts (yield 90\%) were prepared by reacting corresponding phenylene diamines with $20 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ directly in 1:2 molar ratios at room temperature.


Ortho and para

All these salts were washed with ethyl acetate, recrystallized from distilled water, and dried over fused $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ in a vacuum desiccator.

Crystallographic and thermal decomposition studies
Crystallographic study has been done using same techniques as reported earlier [13]. The non-isothermal analyses [e.g., thermogravimetry (TG) in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and TG, differential thermal analysis (DTA) in air atmosphere] were undertaken at a heating rate of $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}{ }^{-1}$. The non-isothermal TG curves on the salts (mass $\sim 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ) were obtained on thermal analyzer (TA) Q 50 in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and indigenously fabricated TG apparatus [12] in static air (sample mass $\sim 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ). DTA curves were taken under flowing air (at a rate of $60 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ) using Universal Thermal Analysis Instrument, Mumbai (sample mass $\sim 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Isothermal TG on these salts (mass $\sim 33 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was done in static air using the same apparatus [12] at appropriate temperatures.

Kinetic analysis of isothermal TG data
The kinetic analysis using isothermal TG data has been done as reported earlier [12].

## Results and discussion

Results of X-ray crystallography, elemental analysis, gravimetric estimation, and thin-layer chromatography (Tables 1, 2, 3) clearly confirmed the formation of PDADBr salts. Crystal parameters (Table 2) show that $\mathrm{P}-1,2-\mathrm{DADBr}$ have the crystal system triclinic with unit cell dimensions $a=4.489(1) \AA, \quad \alpha=70.21(1)^{\circ}, \quad b=$ 6.111(1) $\AA, \beta=75.25(1)^{\circ}, c=8.731(1) \AA, \gamma=78.98(1)^{\circ}$, whereas $\mathrm{P}-1,4-\mathrm{DADBr}$ have the crystal system orthorhombic with unit cell dimensions $a=4.740$ (1) $\AA, b=$ $7.541(1) \AA, c=12.682(1) \AA$. Hydrogen atoms were placed on calculated positions and refined riding. Refinement with anisotropic thermal parameters for non-hydrogen atoms led to the $R$-values of 0.021 and 0.034 , respectively. The molecular structure and atom labeling scheme for PDADBr salts are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The details of bond lengths and angles are given in Table 3.

To detect the effect of atmospheric change on thermolysis of these salts, TG studies have been carried out in nitrogen and air atmospheres (Fig. 3). As can be seen from thermoanalytical data, the decomposition of both salts takes place in single step in nearly same range of temperature $\left(190-350{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Presence of single endothermic peak (Fig. 4) in DTA thermogram suggests that similar trend was observed in DTA studies. During DTA runs under a flowing air atmosphere, we have observed white deposits near the lower and colder region of glass tube, covering the sample and thermocouples. The deposits gave the same $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ values as the parent compound on TLC analysis. This indicates that sublimation takes place during heating. However, sublimation is not the only process occurring during heating because some carbonaceous residues are left

Table 1 Physical and elemental parameters of PDADBr salts

| Name of compound | Structural formula | Crystal color | $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $R_{\text {f }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | Elemental analysis |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | C | H | N | Br |
| $\mathrm{P}-1,2-\mathrm{DADBr}$ |  | Colorless crystal | 9.48 | 0.87 (a:b:c) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 26.15 (26.67) | 3.56 (3.70) | 10.40 (10.37) | 58.90 (59.26) |
| P-1,4-DADBr |  | Dirty yellow crystals | 7.96 | 0.83 (a:b:c) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 25.95 (26.67) | 3.76 (3.70) | 10.75 (10.37) | 59.90 (59.26) |

[^1]Table 2 Crystal data and structure refinement for PDADBr salts

| Salts designation | P-1,2-DADBr | P-1,4-DADBr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ |
| Color | Colorless | Yellow |
| Formula weight | 269.98 | 269.98 |
| Temp/K | 223(2) | 198(2) |
| $\lambda / \AA$ | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic, P-1 (No. 2) | Orthorhombic, Pmmn (No. 59) |
| Cell constants | $a=4.489(1) \AA$ ¢ $\alpha=70.21(1)^{\circ}$ | $a=4.740(1) \AA$ |
|  | $b=6.111(1) \AA$ ¢ $\beta=75.25(1)^{\circ}$ | $b=7.541(1) \AA$ |
|  | $c=8.731(1) \AA$ ¢ $\gamma=78.98(1)^{\circ}$ | $c=12.682(1) \AA$ |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 216.48(6) | 453.31(12) |
| Molecules per unit cell, $Z$ | 1 | 2 |
| Calculated density/Mg/m ${ }^{3}$ | 2.071 | 1.978 |
| Absorption coefficient/ $/ \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 9.291 | 8.874 |
| $F(000)$ | 130 | 260 |
| Crystal size/mm | $0.45 \times 0.45 \times 0.20$ | $0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.25$ |
| $\theta$ Range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2.53-27.86 | 3.14-27.86 |
| Limiting indices | $-5 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 5,-7 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 8,-8 \leq 1 \leq 11$ | $-5 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 6,-7 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 9,-16 \leq 1 \leq 16$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 1015/0/48 | 645/0/35 |
| Final $R$ indices [ $I>2 \sigma(I)$ ] | $R_{1}=0.0341, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.0882$ | $R_{1}=0.0205, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.0508$ |
| $R$ indices (all data) | $R_{1}=0.0358, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.0896$ | $R_{1}=0.0237, \mathrm{w} R_{2}=0.0517$ |
| Extinction coefficient | 0.100(13) | 0.028(2) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole/e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.872 and -0.696 | 0.488 and -0.415 |
| CCDC no. | 636312 | 636313 |

Table 3 Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for PDADBr salts

| $\mathrm{P}-1,2-\mathrm{DADBr}$ | $\mathrm{P}-1,4-\mathrm{DADBr}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bond lengths |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1) 1.462(4)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1) 1.461(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 1.382(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1) 1.388(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3) 1.387(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 1.390(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3) 1.389(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3) 1.387(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2) 1.389(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3) 1.378(7)$ |
| Bond angles | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 119.82(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3) 122.0(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 122.75(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 119.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 117.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1) 118.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1) 120.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3) 118.8(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2) 120.14(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(1) 119.1(3)$ |  |

behind in the crucible. It seems that sublimation takes place initially and as the temperature rises, oxidative decomposition also takes place in the condensed state [13].

As suggested earlier [6], the thermal stability of PDADBr may depend upon the tendency of phenylenediammonium ion to release a proton to bromide ion. Low $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ (higher acidity) of amine causes an easy removal of proton
from $-\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$which clearly indicates that thermal stability of bromide salts depend upon $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value of corresponding amine. Proton transfer via an activated complex is assumed as a primary rate determining step which leads to the formation of corresponding phenylenediamine and HBr , both in condensed as well as gaseous phase (Scheme 1).

The kinetics of thermal decomposition of PDADBr was evaluated using 14 mechanism-based kinetic models [12].


Br 2
Fig. 1 Crystal structure of $\mathrm{P}-1,2-\mathrm{DADBr}$ salt


Fig. 2 Crystal structure of P-1,4-DADBr salt


Fig. 3 Non-isothermal TG curves of PDADBr in nitrogen and air atmosphere

In the model fitting method, the kinetics is analyzed by choosing a "best fit" model based on the value of correlation coefficient $r$ close to 1 . Among various values of $r$ calculated for different models, highest value of $r$ for


Fig. 4 DTA curves of PDADBr salts in flowing air
$\mathrm{P}-1,2-\mathrm{DADBr}$ corresponds to model 1 and for $\mathrm{P}-1$, 4-DADBr corresponds to model 11. The corresponding value of $E$ as reported in Table 4 for $\mathrm{P}-1,2-\mathrm{DADBr}$ and $\mathrm{P}-1,4-\mathrm{DADBr}$ is, respectively, 79.0 and $96.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. The energy of activation for thermal decomposition of $\mathrm{P}-1,2-\mathrm{DADBr}$ is less as compared to $\mathrm{P}-1,4-\mathrm{DADBr}$, may be due to the ortho effect which have already been reported for amine nitrates [11] and perchlorates [12] (Fig. 5).

Isoconversional method is known to evaluate the activation energy values at progressive degrees of conversion without modelistic assumptions. In our case, we have adopted the isoconversional method reported by Vyazovkin [15, 16]. This approach indicates that the decomposition of these salts is not simple as indicated by model fitting method. As can be seen from Fig. 6, initially $E_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for thermal decomposition of both salts are lower in alpha range $0.05-0.1$, which increases to high values in the range of $0.1-0.3$ and after this range $E_{\mathrm{a}}$ is nearly constant for both the salts.

Scheme 1 Thermolytic pathways of PDADBr

P.T.- Proton Transfer

Table 4 Arrhenius parameters for isothermal decomposition of PDADBr P-1,2-DADBr P-1,4-DADBr

| S. no. | Reaction models | $E / \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $r$ | $E / \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $r$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Power law | 79.0 | 0.9762 | 97.2 | 0.9143 |
| 2 | Power law | 79.2 | 0.9760 | 97.3 | 0.9154 |
| 3 | Power law | 79.7 | 0.9755 | 97.4 | 0.9174 |
| 4 | Power law | 81.6 | 0.9733 | 97.5 | 0.9263 |
| 5 | One-dimensional | 82.2 | 0.9725 | 97.3 | 0.9293 |
|  | $\quad$ diffusion |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Mampel (first | 81.3 | 0.9737 | 97.2 | 0.9265 |
|  | $\quad$ order) |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Avrami-Erofeev | 79.5 | 0.9757 | 97.2 | 0.9176 |
| 8 | Avrami-Erofeev | 79.8 | 0.9754 | 97.3 | 0.9188 |
| 9 | Avrami-Erofeev | 80.3 | 0.9749 | 97.3 | 0.9210 |
| 10 | Contracting sphere | 81.2 | 0.9738 | 97.4 | 0.9252 |
| 11 | Three-dimensional | 82.4 | 0.9725 | 96.8 | 0.9321 |
|  | diffusion |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Contracting | 81.1 | 0.9739 | 97.4 | 0.9245 |
|  | cylinder |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Prout-Tomkins | 79.2 | 0.9761 | 97.1 | 0.9162 |
| 14 | Ginstling- | 82.4 | 0.9725 | 97.0 | 0.9312 |
|  | Brounshtein |  |  |  |  |



Fig. 5 Isothermal TG of PDADBr salts


Fig. 6 Dependencies of activation energy on the extent of conversion

## Conclusions

Thermal decomposition of PDADBr occurs principally by dissociation into HBr and the corresponding phenylenediamine. The regenerated parent compounds may then further go into vapor phase (dissociative sublimation) and/or undergo oxidative decomposition in the condensed phase. The results show that proton transfer reaction plays an important role in the thermal decomposition of these salts.
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